

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,040	05,040 07/12/2001		Gary A. Demos	07314-011001	2221
20985	7590	04/07/2004		EXAMINER AN, SHAWN S	
FISH & RIC		•			
12390 EL CAMINO REAL SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2613	
				DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Amicant(s)					
	09/905,040	DEMOS, GARY A.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shawn S An	2613					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arred patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
,—	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 January 2004.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	ı						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-85</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-26,28-52 and 54-78</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,27,53 and 79-85</u> is/are rejected.							
_	7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
or subject to restriction and/or decision requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	Examinor: Note the attached One	55 / 616 / 61 / 61 / 75 / 752.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No      Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
,							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11</u> .  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)						
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 13					

Application/Control Number: 09/905,040

Art Unit: 2613

20

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Reconsideration

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 27, 53, and 79-85 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 53, 79-81, and 83-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huang et al (5,617,145).

Regarding claims 1, 53, 79-81, and 84, Huang discloses a system and a method for coding video frames in a video compression system having coding mode biases, including:

means for inputting video frames to be compressed (Fig. 1, element 6);

means (Fig. 1) for automatically scaling (variable) the coding mode biases as a function of the number of bits of coding precision used to code video frames (col. 3, lines 15-22).

**Regarding claim 83,** Huang discloses Q parameter associated with the video frames (col. 1, lines 36-38).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the

Application/Control Number: 09/905,040

Art Unit: 2613

dir-

subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 27, 82, and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al (5,963,673).

**Regarding claim 27,** Huang discloses a system and a method for coding video frames in a video compression system having coding mode biases, including:

means for inputting video frames to be compressed (Fig. 1, element 6); means (Fig. 1) for automatically scaling (variable) the coding mode biases as a function of at least dynamic range of at least one image unit of video frames (col. 3, lines 15-22).

Further, the Examiner takes official notice that computer program for coding video frames in a video compression system is well known in the art for saving costs associated with hardware apparatus.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a system/method for encoding compressed video frames as taught by Huang et al to incorporate the computer program having instructions for causing a computer to perform all of the above limitations for saving costs associated with hardware apparatus.

**Regarding claims 82 and 85**, Huang et al does not particularly disclose contrast range and setting all biases to zero.

However, the Examiner takes official notice that image characteristics such as contrast range are well known in the art, and setting all coding mode biases for such video frames to zero are also conventional so as to prioritize encoding process.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing an apparatus/method for encoding compressed video frames as taught by Huang et al to modify the scaling coding mode as a function

Application/Control Number: 09/905,040

Art Unit: 2613

of contrast range for efficient coding and setting all coding mode biases for such video frames to zero so as to prioritize encoding process.

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- A) Chen et al (6,057,884), Temporal and spatial scaleable coding for video object planes.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to **Shawn S An** whose telephone number is 703-305-0099. The Examiner can normally be reached on Flex hours (10).
- 8. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SSA

CHAWN S. ALL

Primary Patent Examiner

4/3/04